

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/984.979	12/04/97	7 BAER	Т	17726-709

MMC1/1004

JOHN J BRUCKNER WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI -650 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO CA 94304

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2877

DATE MAILED:

10/04/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/984,979

Applicant(s)

Baer et al.

Examiner

Nguyen, Tu T.

Group Art Unit 2877



■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jul 11, 2000</u>	7 (FEE, ULL 1991) 3 (FEE) 1 (F
☑ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosect in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 21	cution as to the merits is closed 3.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 mois longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the perapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained as CFR 1.136(a).	onth(s), or thirty days, whichever
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-44	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)is/a	
Claim(s)	ic/ere ellewed
	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s) are subject to se	is/are objected to.
Claims are subject to re	estriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsparage's Research Drawing Research	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved	☐ disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	r)-(d).
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents h □ received.	nave been
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
\square received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).
Attachment(s)	
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Paper No. 12

Serial Number: 08/984,979 Filing Date: 12/04/97

Detailed Office Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baer et al (WO 98/35215).

Baer discloses a LCM apparatus comprising: a transfer film carrier having a substrate surface (fig 1b, 14), a LCM transfer film (fig 1b, 16) coupled to the carrier.

With respect to claims 1,13-16,28-31,44, Baer discloses the claimed invention except for the integrally formed structure. Using the integrally formed structure for controlling the space between the transfer film and the sample is well known in the art so it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify

Baer's apparatus with the integrally formed structure to control the spacing to reduce the system cost.

With respect to claims 2-4,17-19,37, the transfer film in Baer's invention is made from thermoplastic (lines 15-21) so it inherent include all the claimed limitations.

With respect to claims 5,20,38, LCM transfer film is hot vacuum baked (lines 7-17, page 12). With respect to claims 6,21, Baer discloses the claimed invention (page 8, lines 15-21) except for not explicitly mention about the refractive index of the glue. Using the glue with has the same refractive index with the substrate would have been a design choice because on page 8, lines 19-20, Baer teaches that the film can be fastened to the cap by any known fastening means so the limitation would have been a design choice.

With respect to claims 7,22,39, the diameter of the transfer film in Baer's invention is greater than the diameter of the transfer film (fig 7, 42).

With respect to claims 8-9,23-24, the claimed girdle would have been inherent (fig 7).

With respect to claim 10,40,25, Baer's LCM transfer film thickness is less than 500 microns (page 8, lines 20-21).

With respect to claims 11-12,26-27,41-42, Baer discloses the claimed invention except for the range of the transfer film thickness and flatness. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to choose any range for the film thickness or flatness, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Because claims 15, 30, 44 do not have any specific structure so any LCM apparatus can read on the claims.

With respect to claims 32-34, Baer's release layer (fig 7, 46) is located between the transfer film and the plate (fig 7), made by nonadhesive material consisting of silicon and ethylene (page 12, lines 11-12 and page 8, lines 17-19).

With respect to claim 35,43 the Plano-concave void and the diffuser would have been a design choice.

With respect to claim 36, the prior art LCM film is a transparent ethylene vinyl acetate polymer thermoplastic film.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/11/2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to Applicant's argument on pages 9-10, patent Kiebach (3,684,099) has been cited to support the rejection. Kiebach discloses an elongate bar stock comprising integrally formed spacers for maintaining the controlled spacing to obtain the desired product separation (abstract).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to

37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to TC 2877 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2877 via the PTO Fax Center located in CP4-4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Center number is (703)308-7722.

If the Applicant wishes to send a Fax dealing with either a Proposed Amendment or for discussion for a phone interview then the fax should:

- a) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet; and
 - b) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Tuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9185.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Tu Tuan Nguyen Patent Examiner TC 2877 September 24, 2000/TTN

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Primary Examiner
Group Art Unit 2877